

Proposal of Quantum Simulation of Pairing Model on an NMR Quantum Computer

An Min Wang and Xiaodong Yang

Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, P.R.China

We give out a proposal of quantum simulation of pairing model on an NMR quantum computer. In our proposal, we choose an appropriate initial state which can be easily prepared in experiment. Making use of feature of NMR measure and the technology of the second (discrete) Fourier transformation, our theoretical scheme can obtain the spectrum of pairing model in principle. We concretely discuss the case in the concerned subspaces of pairing model and then, as an example, give out a simple initial state to get the gap of two the lowest energy levels in the given subspace. The quantum simulation to get more differences of energy levels is able to be discussed similarly.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 74.20.Fg, 76.60.-k

Simulating a real physical system by a quantum computer (QC) was originally conjectured by Feymann [1]. Later, this idea was confirmed by Lloyd in a two-state array [2] and a general scheme for the quantum simulation was presented [3].

NMR quantum computer is one of successful realizations of quantum computer so far [4, 5]. Moreover, a four-level truncated oscillator [3], a three-spin effective Hamiltonian [6] and the migration of excitation in an eight-state quantum system [7] have been simulated. In recent, L.-A. Wu *et al.* [8] reported an NMR experiment scheme performing a polynomial-time simulation of pairing models. Moreover, new works are coming forth continually [9, 10]. In this letter, we give out a proposal of quantum simulation of pairing model on an NMR quantum computer. Two main features of our proposal are: (1) the choose of an appropriate initial state which can be easily prepared in experiment; (2) the using the second (discrete) Fourier transformation which can obtain the spectrum of pairing model. We concretely discuss the case in the concerned subspaces of pairing model and then, as an example, give out a simple initial state to get the gap of two the lowest energy levels in the given subspace. The quantum simulation to get more differences of energy levels is able to be discussed similarly. In order to know what is the theoretical foundation of our scheme and whether our scheme can arrive at the needed precision, we also carry out some relevant research, see our preprints[11, 12]. In addition, after proposing our theoretical scheme we also finished its simple experimental implement [16].

Let us start from the spin-analogy of pairing model Hamiltonian [8, 13]

$$H_p = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\epsilon_m}{2} \sigma_z^{(m)} - \frac{V}{2} \sum_{m<l=1}^N \left(\sigma_x^{(m)} \sigma_x^{(l)} + \sigma_y^{(m)} \sigma_y^{(l)} \right) \quad (1)$$

We first generally prove that if an appropriate working initial state is chosen rightly, the differences of energy levels of H_p can be obtained by using of two times Fourier transformations.

Without loss of generality, a general working initial state can be written as

$$|\psi_{\text{ini}}\rangle = \sum_i a_i |v_p^i\rangle = \sum_{i,j} a_i b_{ij} |j\rangle = \sum_{i,j} a_i b_{ij} |\phi_{\text{nrm}}^j\rangle \quad (2)$$

where $|v_p^i\rangle = \sum_j b_{ij} |j\rangle$ are the H_p 's eigenvectors with the corresponding eigenvalues E_p^i , and $|j\rangle$ are the standard spin basis. Here, we have used the fact that the eigenvectors $|\phi_{\text{nrm}}^j\rangle$ of H_{nrm} with eigenvalues E_{nrm}^j are just $|j\rangle$ since H_{nrm} in the laboratory is diagonal.

$$H_{\text{nrm}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \omega_0^i \sigma_z^i + \sum_{i,j=1, i<j}^N \pi J \sigma_z^i \sigma_z^j \right) \quad (3)$$

Considering the following evaluation

$$e^{-iH_p\tau/\hbar} |\psi_{\text{ini}}\rangle = \sum_i a_i e^{-iE_p^i\tau/\hbar} |v_p^i\rangle \quad (4)$$

one obtains the density matrix

$$\rho(\tau) = \sum_{i,i'} \sum_{j,j'} a_i b_{ii'} a_j^* b_{jj'}^* \exp\{-i(E_p^i - E_p^j)\tau/\hbar\} |\phi_{\text{nrm}}^{i'}\rangle \langle \phi_{\text{nrm}}^{j'}| \quad (5)$$

Recalling the procedure of the NMR measure, one can write the result, that is the NMR frequency spectrum, as

$$S_{\text{NMR}}(\omega) \propto ft \left[\text{Tr} \left(e^{-iH_{\text{nmr}}t/\hbar} \rho_f e^{iH_{\text{nmr}}t/\hbar} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^+ \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma_i^\pm = (\sigma_x^i \pm i\sigma_y^i)/2$, ρ_f is the density matrix to be measured and ft means the Fourier transformation. It must be emphasized that the Fourier transformation is applied for the NMR measure time t .

Now, substituting $\rho(\tau)$ into the above equation, we have

$$S_{\text{NMR}}(\omega) \propto \sum_{i,i'} \sum_{j,j'} a_i b_{ii'} a_j^* b_{jj'}^* \exp\{-i(E_p^i - E_p^j) \tau/\hbar\} \delta(E_{\text{nmr}}^{i'} - E_{\text{nmr}}^{j'}) \text{Tr} \left(|i'\rangle \langle j'| \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^+ \right) \quad (7)$$

For an appearing peak in NMR frequency spectrum, for example $E_{\text{nmr}}^\alpha - E_{\text{nmr}}^\beta$, we can know its corresponding difference of energy levels (the values of α and β) based on NMR Hamiltonian and the parameters of sample. Then, we carry out the second Fourier transformation and are able to obtain the H_p 's frequency spectrum

$$S_p(E_p) \propto \sum_{i,j} a_i b_{i\alpha} a_j^* b_{j\beta}^* \delta(E_p^i - E_p^j) \quad (8)$$

In experiment, the second (discrete) Fourier transformation can be done from the data that are collected by measuring the areas (heights) of peaks $E_{\text{nmr}}^\alpha - E_{\text{nmr}}^\beta$ at a series of evolution times τ_i with H_p . As soon as the $E_p^i - E_p^j$ are given out and the lowest energy level is also known, the spectrum of H_p is just obtained.

However, there are still three difficulties facing on us. (1) Which state is an appropriate working initial state for our purpose; (2) Which $E_{\text{nmr}}^\alpha - E_{\text{nmr}}^\beta$ peaks can appear in NMR frequency spectrum for a given initial state; (3) What i and j values correspond to the $E_p^i - E_p^j$ peaks in H_p 's frequency spectrum.

In order to solve them, we need some mathematical and physical preparations.

Firstly, we should derive out the obvious expression $\text{Tr}(|i'\rangle \langle j'| \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^+)$. Note the fact that the spin space can be divided into the different subspaces which correspond to the different numbers of spin-up states, that is $S_{\text{spin}}^{(N)} = S_0^{(N)} \oplus S_1^{(N)} \oplus S_2^{(N)} \oplus \dots \oplus S_N^{(N)}$, where the subspace n , i.e. $S_n^{(N)}$, is a subspace with n spin-up states $|0\rangle$. It is clear that these subspaces contain the following basis

$$S_0^{(N)} = \{|2^N\rangle\}, \quad S_N^{(N)} = \{|1\rangle\} \quad (9a)$$

$$S_n^{(N)} = \left\{ |s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{(N)}\rangle = \left| 2^N - \sum_{a=1}^n 2^{(N-i_a)} \right\rangle, i_a \neq i_b, i_a = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\} \quad (9b)$$

Further, based on the relations $\sigma^+|0\rangle = 0$, $\sigma^+|1\rangle = |0\rangle$, $\sigma^-|0\rangle = |1\rangle$ and $\sigma^-|1\rangle = 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^- |1\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N |2^{(N-k)} + 1\rangle, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^- |s_{i_1}^{(1)}\rangle = |2^N\rangle, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^- |2^N\rangle = 0 \quad (10a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^- |s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{(N)}\rangle = \sum_{k=i_1, \dots, i_n} \left| 2^N - \sum_{a=1}^n 2^{(N-i_a)} + 2^{N-k} \right\rangle \quad (10b)$$

In terms of these equations, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left(|i\rangle \langle j| \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^+ \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{i, 2^{(N-k)}+1} \delta_{j1} + \delta_{i, 2^N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{j, 2^N - 2^{(N-k)}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \delta_{i, j+2^{(N-k)}} \delta_{j, 2^N - \sum_{a=1}^n 2^{(N-i_a)}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where in the last term n with possible values $2, 3, \dots, N-1$, $i_a \neq i_b$ and $i_a = 1, 2, \dots, N$ for any $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Secondly, we also need to analyze the structure of the eigenvectors of H_p . From eq.(1) and the relations $(\sigma_x^{(m)} \sigma_x^{(l)} + \sigma_y^{(m)} \sigma_y^{(l)}) = (\sigma_x^{(m)} + i\sigma_y^{(m)}) (\sigma_x^{(l)} - i\sigma_y^{(l)})$, ($m \neq l$), they follow that $|1\rangle$ and $|2^N\rangle$ must be H_p 's two

eigenvectors respectively corresponding to the maximum and the minimum eigenvalues, which are denoted respectively by $|v_p^1\rangle$ and $|v_p^{2N}\rangle$. Moreover, if the arbitrary basis $|s_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(N)}\rangle$ belongs to $S_n^{(N)}$, then $H_p |s_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(N)}\rangle$ also belongs to $S_n^{(N)}$ because that σ^+ and σ^- appear in pairs or do not appear in the various terms of H_p . This implies that $\langle s_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(N)} | H_p | s_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(N)} \rangle = 0, (m \neq n; m, n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1)$. Therefore

$$H_p^{(N)} = H_{sub0}^{(N)} \oplus H_{sub1}^{(N)} \oplus H_{sub2}^{(N)} \oplus \dots \oplus H_{subN}^{(N)} \quad (12)$$

So we can denote the others eigenvectors of H_p as $|v_p^{i(n)}\rangle = \sum_{j(n)} b_{i(n)j(n)} |j(n)\rangle \in S_n^{(N)}$ with the corresponding eigenvalues $E_p^{i(n)}$, and $i(n), j(n)$ only take the sequence number of spin basis belonging to the subspace $S_n^{(N)}$, for example $i^{(1)}(k) = 2^N - 2^{(N-k)}, (k = 1, 2, \dots, N)$. In other words, $|i(n)\rangle, |j(n)\rangle \in S_n^{(N)}$.

Now let us solve the difficulty one. If we only concern the spectrum $E_p^{i(1)}$ and $E_p^{i(N-1)}$ in the subspace 1 and subspace $N-1$ respectively, we should choose such a working initial state that it does not include any $|v_p^{i(m)}\rangle, (m = 2, 3, \dots, N-2)$. Obviously, the appearing NMR frequency spectrum will be simplified as at most $2N$ peaks.

$$S_{\text{NMR}}(\omega) \propto \sum_k \sum_{i,j}' \left[a_i b_{i, 2^{(N-k)}+1} a_j^* b_{j1}^* \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (E_p^i - E_p^j) \tau\right\} \delta(E_{\text{nmr}}^{2^{(N-k)}+1} - E_{\text{nmr}}^1) \right. \\ \left. + a_i b_{i, 2^N} a_j^* b_{j, 2^N-2^{(N-k)}}^* \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (E_p^i - E_p^j) \tau\right\} \delta(E_{\text{nmr}}^{2^N} - E_{\text{nmr}}^{2^N-2^{(N-k)}}) \right] \quad (13)$$

where in the summation $\sum_{i,j}'$, i, j only take over $1, 2^{(N-k)}+1, 2^N-2^{(N-k)}, 2^N; (k = 1, 2, \dots, N)$. In this simplified case, the NMR spectrum only include the differences of energy levels in the subspaces 0, 1, $N-1$ and N . In particular, if the working initial state is taken as $c|v_p^{i(1)}\rangle + d|v_p^{j(1)}\rangle$, then $E_p^{i(1)} - E_p^{j(1)}$ can be obtained from the second Fourier transformation unless no any peaks appears in the NMR frequency spectrum.

Then, let us solve the difficulty two, that is, how to guarantee the NMR frequency spectrum has those needed peaks. The key matter is that we have to take an appropriate working initial state including the kets $|1\rangle, |2^{(N-k)}+1\rangle (\in S_{(N-1)}^{(N)})$ or(/and) $|2^N-2^{(N-k)}\rangle (\in S_1^{(N)})$, that is $|\psi_{\text{ini}}\rangle = a_1|1\rangle + \sum_{i(N-1)} a_{i(N-1)} |v_p^{i(N-1)}\rangle$ or $|\psi_{\text{ini}}\rangle = a_2|2^N\rangle + \sum_{i(1)} a_{i(1)} |v_p^{i(1)}\rangle$. Respectively, for the two cases we have

$$S_{\text{NMR}}(\omega) \propto \sum_k \sum_{i(N-1)} a_{i(N-1)} b_{i(N-1), 2^{(N-k)}+1} a_1^* b_{11}^* \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (E_p^{i(N-1)} - E_p^1) \tau\right\} \delta(E_{\text{nmr}}^{2^{(N-k)}+1} - E_{\text{nmr}}^1) \quad (14)$$

$$S_{\text{NMR}}(\omega) \propto \sum_k \sum_{j(1)} a_{2^N} b_{2^N, 2^N} a_{j(1)}^* b_{j(1), 2^N-2^{(N-k)}}^* \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (E_p^{2^N} - E_p^{j(1)}) \tau\right\} \delta(E_{\text{nmr}}^{2^N} - E_{\text{nmr}}^{j(1)}) \quad (15)$$

Since $a_{i(N-1)} b_{i(N-1), 2^{(N-k)}+1} a_1^* b_{11}^*$ or $a_{2^N} b_{2^N, 2^N} a_{j(1)}^* b_{j(1), 2^N-2^{(N-k)}}^*$ are all not zero, NMR spectrum must have some peaks appearing.

The last difficulty is easy to be solved. In fact, setting $|\psi_{\text{ini}}\rangle = a_{2^N} |2^N\rangle + a_{2^N-2^{(N-K)}} |v_p^{2^N-2^{(N-K)}}\rangle$, we can read $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-K)}} - E_p^{2^N}$ from H_p 's spectrum and then obtain $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-K)}}$ in terms of $E_p^{2^N} = -\sum_{m=1}^N \epsilon_m/2$. If setting $|\psi_{\text{ini}}\rangle = a_{2^N} |2^N\rangle + a_{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}} |v_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}}\rangle + a_{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}} |v_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}}\rangle, (k_1 \neq k_2)$, we can read $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}} - E_p^{2^N}$ and $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}} - E_p^{2^N}$, and then obtain $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}} - E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}}$ (set $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}} \geq E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}}$ if $k_2 > k_1$). Therefore, in principle, we always can find out the energy levels and their differences in the subspace 1 of H_p . Likewise, one ought to be able to obtain the other energy levels in the other subspaces by the different choices of the working initial states.

It must be emphasized that it is interesting what is the physical meaning of $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}} - E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}}$ in theory and what is an appropriate working initial state to obtain $E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_1)}} - E_p^{2^N-2^{(N-k_2)}}$ in experiment.

Actually, by the submatrix diagonalization of spin-analogy of pairing model [11] and the numerical calculation [12], we have found that the relation when N is large enough

$$(\xi_{2^N-2}^2 + \Delta^2)^{1/2} - (\xi_{2^N-1}^2 + \Delta^2)^{1/2} \approx E_p^{2^N-2} - E_p^{2^N-1} \quad (16)$$

where $E_p^{2^N-2} - E_p^{2^N-1}$ is the difference of two the lowest energy levels in the subspace 1 of H_p , Δ is the solution of the energy gap equation [14, 15]

$$1 = \frac{1}{2}V \sum_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_m^2 + \Delta^2}} \quad (17)$$

while ξ_m comes from

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{BCS}} = \varepsilon_s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^N (\xi_m^2 + \Delta^2)^{1/2} (\gamma_m^\dagger \gamma_m + \gamma_{-m}^\dagger \gamma_{-m}) \quad (18)$$

Here $\gamma_m^\dagger, \gamma_m$ are the quasiparticle creation and annihilation operators; ε_s is the ground state energy of superconducting system. Hamiltonian (18) was obtained by use of Bogoliubov transformation and mean field approximation[14, 15] to the BCS Hamiltonian $H_{\text{BCS}} = \sum_{m=1}^N (\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_F)(n_m + n_{-m})/2 - V \sum_{m,l=1}^N c_m^\dagger c_{-m}^\dagger c_{-l} c_l$. This result is consist with the known conclusion. Thus, we know the physical meaning of $E_p^{2^N-2(N-k_1)} - E_p^{2^N-2(N-k_2)}$ in theory.

In order to see what working initial state should be chosen to obtain $E_p^{2^N-2(N-k_1)} - E_p^{2^N-2(N-k_2)}$ in experiment, let us consider an example when $k_2 = 1, k_1 = 2$. It is easy to prove that

$$H_p |\overline{W}\rangle = - \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^N \epsilon_m + (N-1)V \right] |\overline{W}\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=1}^M \epsilon_m \left| 11 \cdots 1 \underbrace{0}_m 1 \cdots 1 \right\rangle \quad (19)$$

$$H_p |\overline{u}_{ij}\rangle = - \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^N \epsilon_m - \epsilon_j - V \right] |\overline{u}_{ij}\rangle - (\epsilon_j - \epsilon_i) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 11 \cdots 1 \underbrace{0}_i 1 \cdots 1 \right\rangle \quad (20)$$

where we have defined

$$|\overline{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| 11 \cdots 1 \underbrace{0}_i 1 \cdots 1 \right\rangle, \quad |\overline{u}_{ij}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left| 11 \cdots 1 \underbrace{0}_i 1 \cdots 1 \right\rangle - \left| 11 \cdots 1 \underbrace{0}_j 1 \cdots 1 \right\rangle \right) \quad (21)$$

Here, $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Obviously, $|\overline{u}_{ij}\rangle$ are not completely independent each other. In practice, we can fix $i = 1$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, N$ and obtain $(N-1)$ linearly independent $|\overline{u}_{ij}\rangle$. Note that when all ϵ_m are equal, so-called anti-W state $|\overline{W}\rangle$ corresponds to the lowest energy level in the subspace 1. When ϵ_m are different for the different m and $\epsilon_{j+1} - \epsilon_j$ is a very small positive parameter, we know, from the perturbation theory, that the lowest energy level is still related with $|\overline{W}\rangle$, and the second lowest energy ought to relate with $|\overline{u}_{12}\rangle$. Thus, in order to make the working initial state to contain $|v_p^{(2^N-1)}\rangle$ and $|v_p^{(2^N-2)}\rangle$ definitely, we should take it as

$$|\psi_{\text{ini}}^{(0)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|2^N\rangle + |\overline{W}\rangle + |\overline{u}_{12}\rangle) \quad (22)$$

Thus, the final H_p 's frequency spectrum includes consequently the peaks $E_p^{2^N-1} - E_p^{2^N}$ and $E_p^{2^N-2} - E_p^{2^N}$. Obviously, such an initial state is simple and easy to prepare in NMR experiment.

In the summary, our scheme of quantum simulation of paring model on an NMR quantum computer has four steps. (1) prepare the initial state based on the quantum simulation purpose, for example (22) in order to obtain $E_p^{2^N-2} - E_p^{2^N-1}$; (2) use NMR pulse series to implement evolution $e^{-iH_p\tau/\hbar}$ [8, 16] at a series of times τ_i ; (3) carry out NMR measure (the first Fourier transformation) to collect data which are a series of amplitudes corresponding to the evolution time τ_i by H_p ; (4) make the second (discrete) Fourier transformation for the collecting data and then obtain H_p 's frequency spectrum. Newly, we have finished the experiment of quantum simulation for the simplest system of two qubits. More experiment detail is put in our another paper [16].

Comparing with the known scheme [8] and our one, obviously, the working initial state in the former are prepared by the process of a quasi-adiabatically evolution, but our scheme does not need such a process and chose directly an appropriate working initial state which can be easily prepared in experiment. In terms of feature of NMR measure and the second (discrete) Fourier transformation, our scheme can obtain the spectrum of paring model in principle. It is different from the scheme in ref.[8] where the second Fourier transformation was not used. In addition, because we chose an appropriate working state, the rotation step is not needed. However, it must point out that for every

time evolution, we use the same serial of pulses as the ref.[8]. These features of our proposal leads that the simulating paring model on an NMR quantum computer is actually feasible and really complete. Moreover, we concretely discuss the case in the subspaces 1 and $N - 1$ of pairing model and then, as an example, give out a simple initial state to get the gap of two the lowest energy levels in the subspace 1. The quantum simulation to get more differences of energy levels is able to be discussed similarly. This working is on progressing.

We particularly thank Jiangfeng Du for his valuable suggestions and indispensable supports in the experiment implement of our scheme, and we are grateful Feng Xu, Xiaosan Ma, Niu Wanqing, Ningbo Zhao, Hao You, Zhu Rengui and Su Xiaoqiang for helpful discussion. This work was founded by the National Fundamental Research Program of China with No. 2001CB309310, and partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 60173047.

-
- [1] R. P. Feynman, Int. J. Theor. Phys., **21**, 467 (1982)
 - [2] S. Lloyd, Science 273, 1073 (1996)
 - [3] S. Somaroo, C. H. Tseng, T. F. Havel, R. Laflamme and D. G. Cory Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 5381(1999)
 - [4] D. G. Cory, R. Laflamme, E. Knill, L. Viola, *et al. Fortschr. Phys.* **48**, 875-907 (2000);
 - [5] J. A. Jones, Prog. NMR Spectrosc. **38**, 325 (2001)
 - [6] C. H. Tseng, S. Somaroo, Y. Sharf, *et. al.* Phys. Rev. A **61**, 012302(2000)
 - [7] A. K. Khitrin and B. M. Fung, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 032306(2001)
 - [8] L.-A. Wu, M. S. Byrd, and D. A. Lidar, *Phys Rev Lett.* **89**, 057904 (2002); J. Dukelsky, J. M. Román, and G. Sierra, *Phys Rev Lett.* **90**, 249803 (2003); L.-A. Wu, M. S. Byrd, and D. A. Lidar, *Phys Rev Lett.* **90**, 249804 (2003)
 - [9] R. Somma, G. Ortiz, J. E. Gubernatis, E. Knill, and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 042323(2002)
 - [10] C. Negrevergne, R. Somma, G. Ortiz, E. Knill, and R. Laflamme, quant-ph/0410106
 - [11] An Min Wang, Feng Xu, cont-mat/0409400
 - [12] Xu Feng, An Min Wang, Xiaodong Yang, Xiaosan Ma, Hao You, quant-ph/0407100
 - [13] Philip B. Allen, in *Concise Encyclopedia of Solid State Physics*, edited by Rita G. Lerner and George L. Trigg (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1983), p. 266
 - [14] Philip L. Taylor and Olle Henonen, *A Quantum Approach to Condensed Matter Physics*, Cambridge (2002)
 - [15] Zheng-zhong Li, *The theory of Solid State Physics*, in Chinese (2002)
 - [16] Xiaodong Yang, An Min Wang, Feng Xu and Jiangfeng Du, quant-ph/0410143.